

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 463 248

SP 040 538

AUTHOR Garber, Darrell H.
TITLE Using Benchmarks To Meet NCATE Challenges: An Action Plan
for Success on NCATE.
PUB DATE 2002-02-00
NOTE 27p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American
Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (54th, New
York, NY, February 23-26, 2002). Contains light type.
PUB TYPE Guides - Non-Classroom (055) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)
-- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Academic Standards; Diversity (Student); Educational
Technology; Elementary Secondary Education; Higher
Education; Knowledge Base for Teaching; *National Standards;
*Preservice Teacher Education; State Standards; Teacher
Collaboration; Teacher Competencies; Teaching Skills
IDENTIFIERS *National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Educ; Teacher
Knowledge

ABSTRACT

This paper explains that the old NCATE standards had five themes which survive in various forms in NCATE 2000. The conceptual framework and themes of diversity and technology continue to be heavily emphasized. Elements of the conceptual framework include shared vision, coherence, professional commitments/dispositions, commitments to diversity technology, and alignment with professional and state standards. New themes include continuous assessment of candidate proficiency, collaboration with PK-12 and arts and science faculty, and candidate expectations aligned with professional, state, and institutional expectations. The six new standards are: candidate knowledge, skills, and dispositions; assessment system and unit evaluation; field experiences and clinical practice; diversity; faculty qualifications, performance, and development; and unit governance and resources. This paper presents benchmarks for NCATE 2000 which can be used to assess one's position in relation to the goal. It also includes a schedule template for the NCATE Board of Examiners. (SM)

Using Benchmarks to Meet NCATE Challenges:

An Action Plan for Success on NCATE

Darrell H. Garber
Dean and NCATE Consultant
College of Education
Tennessee Tech University

dgarber@tntech.edu
<http://plato.ess.tntech.edu/ed/garber.htm>

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

Darrell H. Garber

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

-
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

NCATE
The Standard of Excellence
in Teacher Preparation

2

© Darrell H. Garber, 2002
Tennessee Technological University

3

An Action Plan for Success on NCATE

- 1. Note important changes between old NCATE paradigm and new NCATE paradigm.**
- 2. Build community understanding of the new elements of performance-based continuous assessment and dispositions.**
- 3. Assemble task force to integrate a performance based assessment system that considers dispositions within a conceptual framework. (Include members from professional education, arts and sciences and PK-12 schools.)**
- 4. When the task force work is complete, break this task force into seven parts (one for the conceptual framework and one for each of the six standards). Add members as needed from professional education, arts and sciences faculty and the PK-12 schools.**
- 5. Use benchmarks below to assess progress.**
- 6. Address weaknesses noted from application of the benchmarks in this document.**
- 7. Write your Institutional Report describing your work.**
- 8. Use template below to schedule the visit.**

© Darrell H. Garber 2002
Tennessee Technological University

The new NCATE Standards

NCATE standards have undergone their third major revision in NCATE's history.

1. The first major revision began in 1986 resulting in all new standards for institutions going through the NCATE accreditation process in 1988.
2. Those Standards have undergone minor revision once since 1988. This minor revision reduced five categories to four but left all the standards in force.
3. NCATE 2000 standards are the first major revisions since the 1988 document.

The full set of Standards is available for downloading at:

http://www.ncate.org/standard/m_stds.htm

Major Changes

*The old standards had five themes. These survive in various forms in NCATE 2000. The **Conceptual Framework** and the themes of **Diversity** and **Technology** continue to be emphasized heavily.*

Elements of the Conceptual Framework

1. Shared Vision
2. Coherence
3. Professional Commitments/Dispositions
4. Commitments to Diversity
5. Technology
6. Alignment with Professional and State Standards.

New Themes in the Conceptual Framework

1. **Continuous Assessment of Candidate proficiency**
2. **Collaboration with PK—12 and Arts and Science faculty**
3. **Candidate expectations aligned with professional, state and institutional expectations**

The current four categories of standards are reduced to two. These two new categories include six standards. **The Unit must meet all.**

The Six New Standards Are:

1. Candidate knowledge, skills and dispositions
2. Assessment system and unit evaluation
3. Field experiences and clinical practice
4. Diversity
5. Faculty qualifications, performance and development
6. Unit governance and resources

© Darrell H. Garber 2002
Tennessee Technological University

Benchmarks for NCATE 2000

Directions: Use these benchmarks to assess your position in relation to the goal.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

BENCHMARK	Benchmark Not Apparent	Benchmark Somewhat Apparent	Benchmark Apparent	Benchmark Obvious	Tasks to be Completed
Commitment to Diversity					
Commitment to Technology					
Shared Vision					
Coherent					
Aligned with Professional and State Standards					
Professional Commitments and Dispositions					

Benchmarks for NCATE 2000

Directions: Use these benchmarks to assess your position in relation to the goal.

STANDARD 1. CANDIDATE KNOWLEDGE, COMPETENCE, AND ASSESSMENT

Candidates:	Benchmark Not Apparent	Benchmark Somewhat Apparent	Benchmark Apparent	Benchmark Obvious	Tasks to be Completed
Have in-depth knowledge of content and demonstrate this in presenting the content.					
Integrate technology.					
Construct meaningful learning experiences for all students.					
Reflect on practice and make adjustments to improve student learning by connecting students to prior learning and applying ideas to the real world.					
Consider school, family and community contexts.					
Analyze student learning, make adjustments, and have a positive effect on student learning.					
Work with students, communities and families to reflect professional dispositions, and they recognize when their dispositions need adjustment.					
Collect evidence of the positive effect their teaching has on individual student learning.					
For other professional school personnel roles, establish educational environments that support student learning and reflect on their work within the context of student learning.					

Benchmarks for NCATE 2000

Directions: Use these benchmarks to assess your position in relation to the goal.

STANDARD 2. PROGRAM ASSESSMENT AND UNIT PLANNING AND EVALUATION

BENCHMARK <i>The Unit:</i>	Benchmark Not Apparent	Benchmark Somewhat Apparent	Benchmark Apparent	Benchmark Obvious	Tasks to be Completed
Involved the professional community in design.					
Implements an assessment system grounded in its Conceptual Framework and incorporates professional and state standards.					
Conducts studies of the accuracy and precision of its measures.					
Constantly searches from stronger relationships between data systems and analytic techniques.					
Makes changes to strengthen the assessment system					

Benchmarks for NCATE 2000

Directions: Use these benchmarks to assess your position in relation to the goal.

STANDARD 3. FIELD EXPERIENCES AND CLINICAL PRACTICE

BENCHMARK	Benchmark Not Apparent	Benchmark Somewhat Apparent	Benchmark Apparent	Benchmark Obvious	Tasks to be Completed
<i>Candidates:</i>					
Are active members of professional teams in schools and reflect with teachers, university supervisors and colleagues about their practice.					
Collect data on student learning, analyze them, reflect on their work and develop strategies to improve student learning.					
<i>The Unit and School:</i>					
Share and integrate resources and expertise.					
Extend the Conceptual Framework via Modeling.					
Collaborate on the design, implementation and evaluation of the Conceptual Framework.					
<i>The Field Experiences:</i>					
Support Candidate proficiencies with students with exceptionalities and those from diverse ethnic, racial, gender and socioeconomic groups.					
Use assessments to indicate candidates meet professional, state, and institutional standards.					

STANDARD 4. DIVERSITY	Benchmark Not Apparent	Benchmark Somewhat Apparent	Benchmark Apparent	Benchmark Obvious	Tasks to be Completed
<i>Candidates:</i>					
Demonstrate knowledge, skills and dispositions related to diversity based on well-developed knowledge bases.					
Learn to develop and teach lessons that incorporate diversity.					
<i>Faculty:</i>					
Are knowledgeable about and sensitive to the need to work with diverse and exceptional students.					
From diverse backgrounds are productively involved in the work of the unit.					
Affirm the value of diversity through good faith efforts.					
<i>Candidates:</i>					
From diverse backgrounds are actively involved in the work of the unit.					
Demonstrate dispositions that value fairness and learning by all students.					
<i>Field Experiences:</i>					
Allow candidates to interact with P-12 students from diverse backgrounds and exceptional students.					
Give candidates feedback from peers and supervisors to reflect on their ability to help all students learn.					

Meeting NCATE Benchmarks

STANDARD 5. FACULTY PERFORMANCE AND DEVELOPMENT	Benchmark Not Apparent	Benchmark Somewhat Apparent	Benchmark Apparent	Benchmark Obvious	Tasks to be Completed
<i>Faculty:</i>					
Are teacher scholars					
Reflect the unit's Conceptual Framework in their teaching.					
Value candidates' learning					
Integrate diversity, and technology.					
Understand assessment and use results to inform their own teaching.					
Are recognized as outstanding teachers by peers across campus.					
Are actively engaged in scholarly work related to teaching and learning.					
Work in schools with colleagues.					
Actively involved in professional associations.					
Develop relationships, programs, and projects with colleagues in P-12 schools to improve teaching and candidate learning.					
<i>The unit is defined by the following:</i>					
Comprehensive reviews of the faculty to improve:					
Teaching					
Scholarship					
Service					
Opportunities for the faculty to develop new knowledge and skills.					

Meeting NCATE Benchmarks

STANDARD 6. UNIT GOVERNANCE AND RESOURCES	Benchmark Not Apparent	Benchmark Somewhat Apparent	Benchmark Apparent	Benchmark Obvious	Tasks to be Completed
<i>The Unit:</i>					
Effectively coordinates all programs.					
Calendars, catalogs, etc., are current and accurate.					
Assures candidates have access to advising and counseling.					
Has a budget to support the Unit's assessment plan and adequate information technology.					
Has a faculty workload policy that permits faculty to engage in a wide range of professional activities.					
Includes full-time, part-time, and clinical faculty in a way that assures program coherence and integrity.					
Has an adequate facility on campus and in partner schools to meet needs.					
Aggressively and successfully secures resources to support high quality programs.					
Serves a technology education resource beyond the unit to the Institution, the community, and other institutions.					
Assures that faculty have access to library, curricula, and electronic resources.					

NCATE Board of Examiners

Schedule Template

MONDAY ¹	MEMBERS ASSIGNED ²
8:00-8:30 Dean and NCATE Coordinator—Update of visit progress by National and State Chairs	_____ _____ _____
8:30-9:00 President	_____ _____ _____
8:30-9:20 Dean, Department/Program Chairs/Directors (50 minutes)	_____ _____ _____
9:30-10:15 NCATE Coordinators, Standards Chairs (45 minutes)	_____ _____ _____
9:30-10:20 Director of Field Experiences/Assistant Director of Field Experiences/Director of Teacher Education	_____ _____ _____
10:15-10:45 Vice President for Academic Affairs	_____ _____ _____
10:15-10:45 Exemplary Programs (30 minutes)	_____ _____ _____

¹ The Unit and the Chair of the BOE team will determine the final schedule. When drafting the visit schedule, the Unit should feel free to rearrange the appointments to best meet schedules on and off campus. Change the titles to fit your campus.

² This column will be completed by the BOE team after their arrival on campus

10:30-11:00 Vice President for Student Affairs	_____

11:00-11:30 Dean and Director of Teacher Education	_____

11:00-11:45 Educational Studies Committee (50 minutes)	_____

11:15-12:00 Teacher Education Unit Faculty	_____

11:00-11:45 Assessment Committee	_____

12:00-1:00 Team Lunch	
1:10-2:00 Institutional Representatives from various units	_____

1:10-2:00 Teacher Education Unit Faculty (50 minutes)	_____

1:10-2:00 Current students (e.g., initial and/or advanced candidates)	_____

2:00-2:30 Graduate Studies Committee	_____

2:10-2:50 Current students (e.g., initial candidates) (50 minutes)	_____

2:30-3:00 Academic Dean and Director of Graduate Studies	_____

2:55-3:50 Current Student Teachers	_____

3:15-3:45 Education Council	_____

3:45-4:15 Education Studies Committee	_____

3:15-4:00 Selected Arts & Sciences Faculty (45 minutes)	_____

3:45-4:15 Education Studies Committee	_____

4:15-5:15 Cooperating Teachers/Principals (60 minutes)	_____

4:30-5:15 Teacher Preparation Committee	_____

TUESDAY	MEMBERS ASSIGNED
7:30 Pick up at Hotel for School Visits	_____
_____ Senior High School	_____
_____ Middle/Junior High School	_____

7:30 Pick up at Hotel for School Visits	_____
_____ Elementary School	_____

8:00-8:30 Dean and NCATE Coordinator (update)	_____

8:45-9:15 Vice President for Academic Affairs (<i>if needed for follow up questions</i>)	_____

8:45-9:15 Graduate Studies Director, Graduate Program Coordinators (30 minutes)	_____

9:30-11:00 Observation of University Classes	_____

9:45-10:35 Library and Instructional Technology	_____

11:15-11:45 Registrar (30 minutes)	_____

12:00-1:00 LUNCH	
1:10-2:00 Open Forum with Teacher Education Faculty	_____

1:15-2:00 Early Education Center Staff	_____

2:00-2:30 Assessment Committee (<i>for follow up questions, if needed</i>)	_____

2:15-3:00 Teacher Education Unit University Supervisors (45 minutes)	_____

2:45-3:15 Advising and Career Development Center	_____

3:00-5:00 Follow-up Interviews as Appropriate	_____

3:30-4:15 Academic Policy Advisory Committee	_____

3:30-4:15 Alumni, Recent Graduates	_____



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ERIC®

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title:

Dean of the College of Education

Author(s): Darrell H. Garber

Corporate Source:

Tennessee Technological University

Publication Date:

2/02

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

1

Level 1



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting
reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other
ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper
copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN
MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA
FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY,
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A



Check here for Level 2A release, permitting
reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in
electronic media for ERIC archival collection
subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN
MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B



Check here for Level 2B release, permitting
reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Sign
here,
→
se

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document
as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system
contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies
to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature:
Darrell H. Garber

Printed Name/Position/Title:

Darrell H. Garber, Dean

Organization/Address:

TTU

Box 5046, Cookeville, TN 38505

Telephone:

931-372-3124

FAX:

931-372-6319

E-Mail Address:

dgarber@tntech.edu

Date:

2/21/02

(over)

CLEARINGHOUSE ON TEACHING
AND TEACHER EDUCATION



November 1, 2001

Dear AACTE Presenter:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Teaching and Teacher Education invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a copy of your paper presented at AACTE's 54th Annual Meeting (New York, NY, February 23-26, 2002). Abstracts of documents that are accepted by ERIC appear in the print volume, *Resources in Education* (RIE), and are available through computers in both on-line and CD-ROM versions. The ERIC database is accessed worldwide and is used by teachers, administrators, researchers, students, policymakers, and others with an interest in education.

Inclusion of your work provides you with a permanent archive and contributes to the overall development of materials in ERIC. The full text of your contribution will be accessible through the microfiche collections that are housed at libraries throughout the country and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service. Documents are reviewed and accepted based on their contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality.

To disseminate your work through ERIC, you need to fill out and sign the **Reproduction Release Form** located on the back of this form and include it with a letter-quality copy of your paper. You can mail the materials to: **The ERIC Clearinghouse on Teaching and Teacher Education, 1307 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 300, Washington, D.C. 20005**. Please feel free to photocopy the release form for future or additional submissions.

Should you have further questions, please contact me at 1-800-822-9229; or E-mail: lkelly@aacte.org.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Linda M. Kelly".
Linda M. Kelly
Acquisitions and Outreach Coordinator